

perfectns: perfect dynamic and standard nested sampling for spherically symmetric likelihoods and priors

Edward Higson^{1, 2}

¹ Cavendish Astrophysics Group, Cavendish Laboratory, J.J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK ² Kavli Institute for Cosmology, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK

DOI: [10.21105/joss.00985](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00985)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Submitted: 21 September 2018

Published: 03 October 2018

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

Summary

Nested sampling (Skilling, 2006) is a popular Monte Carlo method for computing Bayesian evidences and generating posterior samples given some likelihood and prior. Both standard nested sampling and its more general variant dynamic nested sampling (Higson, Handley, Hobson, & Lasenby, 2017) require sampling randomly from the prior within a hard likelihood constraint. This is a computationally challenging problem, and typically only be done approximately for practical problems. Popular methods include rejection sampling, utilized by `MultiNest` (Feroz & Hobson, 2008; Feroz, Hobson, & Bridges, 2008; Feroz, Hobson, Cameron, & Pettitt, 2013), and slice sampling, which is used by `PolyChord` (W. J. Handley, Hobson, & Lasenby, 2015a, 2015b) and `dyPolyChord` (Higson, 2018a; Higson et al., 2017). However all such approximate techniques can lead to additional errors, for example due to correlated samples or missing a mode of a multimodal posterior; for more details see (Higson, Handley, Hobson, & Lasenby, 2018a).

In order to test the statistical properties of the nested sampling algorithm or check numerical implementations, it is useful to follow the approach introduced by (Keeton, 2011) and consider special cases where totally uncorrelated samples can be produced within hard likelihood constraints. As a result the nested sampling algorithm can be performed perfectly; we term this *perfect nested sampling*.

`perfectns` performs perfect nested sampling for spherically symmetric likelihoods and priors; its specialised design and ability to produce perfectly uncorrelated samples makes it highly effective for use with spherically symmetric problems. Furthermore, such problems provide a rich source of test cases for assessing the capacity of other software implementations to perform the nested sampling algorithm accurately, and for use in statistical research into nested sampling. In fact, Section 3 of (Higson, Handley, Hobson, & Lasenby, 2018b) shows that any perfect nested sampling calculation can in principle be transformed into a spherically symmetric form compatible with `perfectns` while retaining its statistical properties. Such transformations can be used to generate a wider range of test cases, although it can be mathematically challenging and is not feasible for most practical problems.

`perfectns` requires `mpmath` (Johansson & others, 2013), `matplotlib` (Hunter, 2007), `nestcheck` (Higson, 2018b; Higson et al., 2018a, 2018b), `pandas` (McKinney, 2010), `numpy` (T. E. Oliphant, 2006) and `scipy` (Jones, Oliphant, Peterson, & Others, 2001).

`perfectns` was used in the development of the dynamic nested sampling algorithm (Higson et al., 2017), and for making many of the numerical tests and plots in the dynamic nested sampling paper. It was also used in testing `dyPolyChord` (Higson, 2018a), and numerical tests and plots in (Higson et al., 2018b) were made using earlier versions of the package. The source code for `perfectns` has been archived to Zenodo (Higson, 2018c).

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Will Handley, Anthony Lasenby and Mike Hobson for their help and advice in the research which lead to the creation of `perfectns`.

References

- Feroz, F., & Hobson, M. P. (2008). Multimodal nested sampling: An efficient and robust alternative to Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods for astronomical data analyses. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 384(2), 449–463. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12353.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12353.x)
- Feroz, F., Hobson, M. P., & Bridges, M. (2008). MultiNest: an efficient and robust Bayesian inference tool for cosmology and particle physics. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 398(4), 1601–1614. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14548.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14548.x)
- Feroz, F., Hobson, M. P., Cameron, E., & Pettitt, A. N. (2013). Importance Nested Sampling and the MultiNest Algorithm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.2144*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2144>
- Handley, W. J., Hobson, M. P., & Lasenby, A. N. (2015a). PolyChord: Nested sampling for cosmology. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters*, 450(1), L61–L65. doi:[10.1093/mnrasl/slv047](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slv047)
- Handley, W. J., Hobson, M. P., & Lasenby, A. N. (2015b). PolyChord: next-generation nested sampling. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 15, 1–15. doi:[10.1093/mnras/stv1911](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1911)
- Higson, E. (2018a). dyPolyChord: dynamic nested sampling with PolyChord. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(29), 965. doi:[10.21105/joss.00965](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00965)
- Higson, E. (2018b). nestcheck: error analysis, diagnostic tests and plots for nested sampling calculations. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(29), 916. doi:[10.21105/joss.00916](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00916)
- Higson, E. (2018c). perfectns: perfect dynamic and standard nested sampling for spherically symmetric likelihoods and priors. doi:[10.5281/zenodo.1327591](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1327591)
- Higson, E., Handley, W., Hobson, M., & Lasenby, A. (2017). Dynamic nested sampling : an improved algorithm for parameter estimation and evidence calculation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.03459*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03459>
- Higson, E., Handley, W., Hobson, M., & Lasenby, A. (2018a). nestcheck: diagnostic tests for nested sampling calculations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.06406*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.06406>
- Higson, E., Handley, W., Hobson, M., & Lasenby, A. (2018b). Sampling errors in nested sampling parameter estimation. *Bayesian Analysis*, 13(3), 873–896. doi:[doi:10.1214/17-BA1075](https://doi.org/10.1214/17-BA1075)
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. doi:[10.1109/MCSE.2007.55](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55)
- Johansson, F., & others. (2013). mpmath: a Python library for arbitrary-precision floating-point arithmetic. Retrieved from <http://mpmath.org/>
- Jones, E., Oliphant, T., Peterson, P., & Others. (2001). SciPy: Open source scientific tools for python. Retrieved from <http://www.scipy.org/>
- Keeton, C. R. (2011). On statistical uncertainty in nested sampling. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 414(2), 1418–1426. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18474.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18474.x)

McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in python. In S. van der Walt & J. Millman (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th python in science conference* (pp. 51–56).

Oliphant, T. E. (2006). *A guide to numpy* (Vol. 1). Trelgol Publishing USA.

Skilling, J. (2006). Nested sampling for general Bayesian computation. *Bayesian Analysis*, 1(4), 833–860. doi:[10.1214/06-BA127](https://doi.org/10.1214/06-BA127)