

biorbd: A C++, Python and MATLAB library to analyze and simulate the human body biomechanics

Benjamin Michaud¹ and Mickaël Begon¹

1 École de Kinésiologie et de Sciences de l'Activité Physique, Université de Montréal

Summary

Biomechanics is at the interface of several fields of science, such as mechanics, human physiology and robotics. Although this transdisciplinarity encourages the emergence of new ideas, the variety of data to analyze simultaneously can be overwhelming. Commonly biomechanical datasets are composed of skin markers trajectories (termed as markers), contact forces, electromyography (EMG) signal, inertial measurement units (IMU) kinematics, etc., which by nature are not straightforward to combine. It is at their meeting point—the body movement—that biorbd steps in; bio standing for biomechanics and rbd for rigid body dynamics. biorbd is a *feature-based development* library that targets the manipulation of biomechanical data in a comprehensive and accessible manner. For a given musculoskeletal model, it provides functions for inverse flow—i.e., from markers to EMG—and direct flow—i.e., from EMG to markers.

Since biomechanics often requires computationally expensive or real-time computations, the core of biorbd is written in C++. Although this language provides fast computations, it lacks the flexibility of higher-level languages. To meet the needs of the biomechanics community, Python and MATLAB binders are provided with biorbd. As a result, biorbd can elegantly be implemented to common workflows of researchers without compromising the required speed.

Finally, biomechanical data are often multidimensional and almost always time-dependent which can be challenging to visualize. To help with that, bioviz (Michaud & Begon, 2018), a Python visualizer, was purposely designed. This visualizer allows animating the model, record videos, and, for models that include muscles, plot muscular outputs against various features of the movement.

A biorbd overview, the inverse and direct flow

Biomechanical analyses are usually based on one (or a mixture) of the inverse or direct flow (Kainz et al., 2016). Briefly, the former uses measurements from a movement (e.g., markers) and infers its cause, while the latter assumes control (e.g., EMG) and outputs the resulting kinematics.

Inverse flow

Inverse kinematics: Estimates the generalized coordinates (q)—i.e., the body kinematics—from body sensor measurements (e.g., markers, IMU, etc.). The main algorithm implemented is the Extended Kalman Filter (Fohanno et al., 2010) which by design facilitates the merging of multiple data sources and takes care of missing data.

DOI: 10.21105/joss.02562

Software

- Review ¹
- Archive C

Editor: Tania Allard C

Reviewers:

- @trallard
- @abhishektha

Submitted: 16 June 2020 Published: 19 January 2021

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Michaud et al., (2021). biorbd: A C++, Python and MATLAB library to analyze and simulate the human body biomechanics. *Journal of Open* 1 *Source Software*, 6(57), 2562. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02562



Inverse dynamics: Estimates the generalized forces (τ) producing a given generalized acceleration (\ddot{q}) (the second time derivative of q):

$$\tau = M(q)\ddot{q} + N(q,\dot{q})$$

where \dot{q} is the generalized velocities, M(q) is the mass matrix and $N(q, \dot{q})$ are the nonlinear effects.

Static optimization: Estimates the muscle activations (α) producing a given τ (Anderson & Pandy, 2001). It minimizes the muscle activation *p*-norm (*p* usually being 2) that matches a given τ using nonlinear optimization (Ipopt, Wächter & Biegler, 2006).

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\text{minimize}} & \|\alpha\|_p \\ \text{subject to} & \tau_{mus_i}(\alpha, q, \dot{q}) - \tau_{kin_i}(q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_{t_j} \leq 1, \qquad \qquad j = 1, \dots, m \end{array}$$

where $\tau_{mus_i}(\alpha, q, \dot{q})$ and $\tau_{kin_i}(q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q})$ are τ computed from muscle forces ($F_{mus}(\alpha, q, \dot{q})$) and inverse dynamics, respectively.

Direct flow

Muscle activation dynamics: Estimates the muscle activation derivative ($\dot{\alpha}$) from the muscle excitation—that is the calcium release in the muscle that triggers the muscle contraction. Multiple activation/excitation dynamics are implemented (e.g., Manal & Buchanan, 2003; Thelen, 2003).

Muscular joint torque: Estimates the τ_{mus} from muscle forces $(F_{mus}(q, \dot{q}, \alpha))$ (Sherman et al., 2010), estimated from α using a muscle model (e.g., Hill, 1938; Thelen, 2003):

$$\tau_{mus} = J_{mus}(q)^T F_{mus}(q, \dot{q}, \alpha)$$

where $J_{mus}(q)$ is the muscle lengths Jacobian.

Forward dynamics: Estimates the \ddot{q} from a given τ :

$$\ddot{q} = M(q)^{-1}\tau - N(q, \dot{q})$$

All the forward dynamics implemented in RBDL (Felis, 2017) are available.

Forward kinematics: Estimates the model kinematics outputs (e.g., markers, IMU) from a given q, after integrating twice \ddot{q} .

The dependencies

biorbd takes advantage of efficient back ends, especially the RBDL and CasADi libraries. RBDL, written by Martin Feliz (Felis, 2017), implements Featherstone equations of spatial geometry (Featherstone & Orin, 2000), successfully used in the field of robotics (Diehl et al., 2006; Kurfess, 2018; Macchietto et al., 2009). RBDL provides the computational core for body dynamics. biorbd extends RBDL by giving commonly used biomechanics nomenclature, and by adding biomechanical modules, amongst others. RBDL is based on the highly efficient C++ linear algebra library Eigen (Guennebaud et al., 2010). Although Eigen is flexible and fast enough for most of the common usage, it cannot automatically provide derivatives of functions. Therefore, RBDL was also augmented with the algorithmic differentiation library CasADi (Andersson et al., 2019). CasADi allows computing at low cost the derivatives of almost all the functions in RBDL and biorbd. This is particularly useful when using biorbd in a gradient-based optimization setting.



Statement of need

OpenSim (Seth et al., 2018) and Anybody (Damsgaard et al., 2006) are state-of-the-art biomechanics software that provides similar analysis flows with advanced user interface. Anyb ody being a closed and proprietary software, the reason to create another library for the open-source community is self-explanatory. Conversely, OpenSim is open-source and well established in the biomechanics community.

Nevertheless, in line with the idea that simulation software in biomechanics should be validated in multiple ways (Hicks et al., 2015), providing similar tools but different in their approach allows the community to cross-validate the different implementation of the algorithms. For instance, two papers (Kim et al., 2018; Trinler et al., 2019) recently compared the outputs of Anybody and OpenSim and came to different results. Although the authors provided plausible explanations for these differences, due to the closed-source nature of Anybody, they had to assume that the implementation of the algorithms are flawless in both software. However, since a direct comparison between the actual codes is impossible, this is not verifiable. Having multiple open source software that produces similar ends by different means is a quality assurance for the end users: "Do not put all your eggs in one basket." To the best of our knowledge, there is no other open-source software that provides a complete direct and inverse flow in biomechanics. Therefore, in our opinion, biorbd and OpenSim are complementary.

Previous usage of biorbd

biorbd was used in most of the project of the *Laboratoire de Simulation et Modélisation du Mouvement* (S2M); particularly in analysis settings (Desmyttere et al., 2020; Jackson et al., 2012; Verdugo et al., 2020) and simulation settings (Bélaise et al., 2018; Moissenet et al., 2019) for a wide variety of movements (walking, piano playing, upper limb maximal exertions, etc.) More recently, an optimal control framework for biomechanics (bioptim, Michaud & Begon, 2020) based on Ipopt (Wächter & Biegler, 2006) and ACADOS (Verschueren et al., 2019) was developed around biorbd.

Acknowledgements

A huge thanks to Ariane Dang for her patience and contribution to writing the tests for biorbd!

References

- Anderson, F. C., & Pandy, M. G. (2001). Static and dynamic optimization solutions for gait are practically equivalent. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *34*(2), 153–161. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0021-9290(00)00155-X
- Andersson, J. A. E., Gillis, J., Horn, G., Rawlings, J. B., & Diehl, M. (2019). CasADi: A software framework for nonlinear optimization and optimal control. *Mathematical Programming Computation*, 11(1), 1–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12532-018-0139-4
- Bélaise, C., Dal Maso, F., Michaud, B., Mombaur, K., & Begon, M. (2018). An EMG-marker tracking optimisation method for estimating muscle forces. *Multibody System Dynamics*, 42(2), 119–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11044-017-9587-2



- Damsgaard, M., Rasmussen, J., Christensen, S. T., Surma, E., & de Zee, M. (2006). Analysis of musculoskeletal systems in the AnyBody Modeling System. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 14(8), 1100–1111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2006.09.001
- Desmyttere, G., Leteneur, S., Hajizadeh, M., Bleau, J., & Begon, M. (2020). Effect of 3D printed foot orthoses stiffness and design on foot kinematics and plantar pressures in healthy people. *Gait & Posture*, *81*, 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020. 07.146
- Diehl, M., Bock, H. G., Diedam, H., & Wieber, P.-B. (2006). Fast Direct Multiple Shooting Algorithms for Optimal Robot Control. In M. Diehl & K. Mombaur (Eds.), Fast Motions in Biomechanics and Robotics: Optimization and Feedback Control (pp. 65–93). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-36119-0_4
- Featherstone, R., & Orin, D. (2000). Robot dynamics: Equations and algorithms. Proceedings 2000 ICRA. Millennium Conference. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. Symposia Proceedings (Cat. No.00CH37065), 1, 826–834 vol.1. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBOT.2000.844153
- Felis, M. L. (2017). RBDL: An efficient rigid-body dynamics library using recursive algorithms. *Autonomous Robots*, 41(2), 495–511. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10514-016-9574-0
- Fohanno, V., Colloud, F., Begon, M., & Lacouture, P. (2010). Estimation of the 3D kinematics in kayak using an extended Kalman filter algorithm: A pilot study. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 13(S1), 55–56. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10255842.2010.491958
- Guennebaud, G., Jacob, B., Avery, P., Bachrach, A., Barthelemy, S., & others. (2010). *Eigen V3*.
- Hicks, J. L., Uchida, T. K., Seth, A., Rajagopal, A., & Delp, S. L. (2015). Is My Model Good Enough? Best Practices for Verification and Validation of Musculoskeletal Models and Simulations of Movement. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 137(2). https: //doi.org/10.1115/1.4029304
- Hill, A. V. (1938). The heat of shortening and the dynamic constants of muscle | Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B - Biological Sciences. *Biological Science*, 126(843), 136–195.
- Jackson, M., Michaud, B., Tétreault, P., & Begon, M. (2012). Improvements in measuring shoulder joint kinematics. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 45(12), 2180–2183. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.05.042
- Kainz, H., Modenese, L., Lloyd, D. G., Maine, S., Walsh, H. P. J., & Carty, C. P. (2016). Joint kinematic calculation based on clinical direct kinematic versus inverse kinematic gait models. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 49(9), 1658–1669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbiomech.2016.03.052
- Kim, Y., Jung, Y., Choi, W., Lee, K., & Koo, S. (2018). Similarities and differences between musculoskeletal simulations of OpenSim and AnyBody modeling system. *Journal* of Mechanical Science and Technology, 32(12), 6037–6044. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12206-018-1154-0
- Kurfess, T. R. (2018). *Robotics and Automation Handbook*. CRC Press. https://doi.org/10. 1201/9781315220352
- Macchietto, A., Zordan, V., & Shelton, C. R. (2009). Momentum control for balance. ACM SIGGRAPH 2009 Papers, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1145/1576246.1531386
- Manal, K., & Buchanan, T. S. (2003). A one-parameter neural activation to muscle activation model: Estimating isometric joint moments from electromyograms. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 36(8), 1197–1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(03)00152-0



- Michaud, B., & Begon, M. (2018). *Bioviz: A vizualization python toolbox for biorbd*. Web page. https://github.com/pyomeca/bioviz
- Michaud, B., & Begon, M. (2020). *Bioptim: An optimal control framework for biomechanical analyses using biorbd*. Web page. https://github.com/pyomeca/bioptim
- Moissenet, F., Bélaise, C., Piche, E., Michaud, B., & Begon, M. (2019). An Optimization Method Tracking EMG, Ground Reactions Forces, and Marker Trajectories for Musculo-Tendon Forces Estimation in Equinus Gait. *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, 13. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnbot.2019.00048
- Seth, A., Hicks, J. L., Uchida, T. K., Habib, A., Dembia, C. L., Dunne, J. J., Ong, C. F., DeMers, M. S., Rajagopal, A., Millard, M., Hamner, S. R., Arnold, E. M., Yong, J. R., Lakshmikanth, S. K., Sherman, M. A., Ku, J. P., & Delp, S. L. (2018). OpenSim: Simulating musculoskeletal dynamics and neuromuscular control to study human and animal movement. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *14*(7). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi. 1006223
- Sherman, M., Seth, A., & Delp, S. L. (2010). How to compute muscle moment arm using generalized coordinates. *Stanford University*.
- Thelen, D. G. (2003). Adjustment of Muscle Mechanics Model Parameters to Simulate Dynamic Contractions in Older Adults. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 125(1), 70–77. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1531112
- Trinler, U., Schwameder, H., Baker, R., & Alexander, N. (2019). Muscle force estimation in clinical gait analysis using AnyBody and OpenSim. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 86, 55–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.01.045
- Verdugo, F., Pelletier, J., Michaud, B., Traube, C., & Begon, M. (2020). Effects of Trunk Motion, Touch, and Articulation on Upper-Limb Velocities and on Joint Contribution to Endpoint Velocities During the Production of Loud Piano Tones. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01159
- Verschueren, R., Frison, G., Kouzoupis, D., van Duijkeren, N., Zanelli, A., Novoselnik, B., Frey, J., Albin, T., Quirynen, R., & Diehl, M. (2019). Acados: A modular open-source framework for fast embedded optimal control. arXiv Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1910. 13753
- Wächter, A., & Biegler, L. T. (2006). On the implementation of an interior-point filter line-search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 106(1), 25–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-004-0559-y