

patRoon 2.0: Improved non-target analysis workflows including automated transformation product screening

Rick Helmus^{1¶}, Bas van de Velde¹²³, Andrea M. Brunner²⁴, Thomas L. ter Laak¹², Annemarie P. van Wezel¹, and Emma L. Schymanski⁵

1 Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94240, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 2 KWR Water Research Institute, Chemical Water Quality and Health, P.O. Box 1072, 3430 BB Nieuwegein, The Netherlands. 3 Division of BioAnalytical Chemistry, Amsterdam Institute of Molecular and Life Sciences (AIMMS), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 4 TNO, Environmental Modelling, Sensing and Analysis (EMSA), Princetonlaan 8, 3584 CB, Utrecht, The Netherlands. 5 Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine (LCSB), University of Luxembourg, L-4367 Belvaux, Luxembourg. ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: 10.21105/joss.04029

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive ⊿

Editor: 🖒

Reviewers:

- @wesleyburr
- @hechth

Submitted: 03 December 2021 Published: 12 March 2022

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

Non-target analysis (NTA) via chromatography coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) is used to monitor and identify organic chemicals in the environment. Biotic and abiotic processes can transform original chemicals (parents) into *transformation products* (TPs). These TPs can be of equal or more concern than their parent compounds and are therefore critical to monitor and identify in the environment (Escher & Fenner, 2011; Farré et al., 2008), often with NTA. Given the amount of data generated by NTA, advanced automated data processing workflows are essential. The open-source, R-based (R Core Team, 2021) platform patRoon (Helmus, ter Laak, et al., 2021) offers automated, straightforward, flexible and comprehensive NTA workflows.

This article describes improvements introduced in patRoon 2.0, including extensive TP screening and simultaneous processing of positive and negative HRMS data. The updated documentation and code are available via https://rickhelmus.github.io/patRoon and archived in Helmus, Velde, et al. (2021).

Statement of need

The identification of chemicals in NTA still remains a grand challenge (Vermeulen et al., 2020); only a small percentage of detected masses can be confidently annotated with spectral libraries (Silva et al., 2015). The unidentified "dark matter" is partly due to TPs, motivating the need for TP screening workflows. Reported approaches to elucidate TPs in the environment include screening of known/predicted TPs, parent/TP classification techniques, isotope labeling experiments and identifying expected (dis)similarities in MS data (shown in Table 1; also reviewed in Li et al. (2021)). However, these approaches are typically designed for a single study or available only as a stand-alone and/or commercial tool. Furthermore, few TP prediction tools support specific pathways observed in the environment (e.g., microbial degradation), are open-source and can be readily integrated in workflows for automated batch predictions. patRoon 2.0 implements complementary TP screening approaches with select algorithms from Table 1 and includes other novel functionality to provide comprehensive TP screening workflows. The modular design of patRoon enables integration of more approaches and algorithms in the future.

Helmus et al. (2022). patRoon 2.0: Improved non-target analysis workflows including automated transformation product screening. *Journal of* 1 *Open Source Software*, 7(71), 4029. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.04029.



 Table 1: Overview of TP screening approaches relevant to environmental screening.
 Bold: implemented/interfaced in patRoon.

Approach	Principle	Requirements	Examples
TP suspect screening	Screen predicted TPs	Prediction tools with relevant pathways, parent structures	BioTransformer (Djoumbou-Feunang et al., 2019), enviPath (Wicker et al., 2015), CTS (Wolfe et al., 2016)
	Screen known TPs	Knowledge base, parent structures	PubChemLite (Schymanski, Kondić, et al., 2021)
Metabolic logic	Screen molecular mass differences from known transformations	Known (elemental) transformations	Schollée et al. (2015)
Mass spectral	Cluster similar MS data	Correlation structural/spectral similarity. Fragmentation spectra for parents/TP candidates	Schollée et al. (2017), MetFamily (Treutler et al., 2016), MetCirc (Naake & Gaquerel, 2017), CluMSID (Depke et al., 2017)
Classifica- tion	Parent/TP classification	In-house statistical/computational expertise	Schollée et al. (2015), Brunner et al. (2019), Schollée et al. (2021)

Since wide chemical coverage is desired with NTA and since TPs can ionize differently to their parent, HRMS analyses are often performed using positive and negative ionization mode. patRoon 2.0 is now capable of simultaneously processing, integrating and interpreting mixed mode data - a functionality not available in most workflows due to complexity and long processing times.

Further improvements to patRoon include interactive data curation and new prioritization and identification strategies, described further below.

New functionality

Transformation product screening workflow

The patRoon 2.0 TP screening workflow starts with features (data points with unique chromatographic/MS information) obtained from a 'classical' patRoon workflow (Figure 1A). Then, data from one or more of TP screening (B,C), MS similarity (D) and parent/TP feature classification (E) is combined to link parent and TP features into components (F). The resulting data is then prioritized (G), corresponding features are annotated (H), and finally all data is reported (I). All algorithm parameters are configurable, yet simplified via defaults. This enables flexible and customizable workflows for a wide variety of applications.

TP screening uses known/predicted TP structures from parents (Figure 1B) or mass differences of transformations using metabolic logic (Schollée et al., 2015) (C). Parents for (B) are specified from (1) a target list, (2) results of a *suspect screening* to find parents by mass, or (3) candidates of feature compound annotation (see Helmus, ter Laak, et al. (2021)). Corresponding TP structures are then either obtained *in silico* with BioTransformer (Djoumbou-Feunang et al., 2019), or through a library search from PubChem data (Kim, 2021; Krier et al., 2022; Schymanski, Kondić, et al., 2021; Schymanski, Bolton, et al., 2021) or a custommade library. Metabolic logic (C), which does not depend on parent structural data, uses



transformation reactions from Schollée et al. (2015) or a custom-made list. TP suspect screening then matches candidate TPs with detected features by mass.

MS similarity (Figure 1D) is calculated, without a predefined parent list, from spectral match and/or equivalence of spectral annotations. Spectral match compares MS fragment spectra (MS/MS) with a cosine or Jaccard index similarity score (Stein & Scott, 1994). This was largely implemented in C++ to allow efficient comparison of large numbers of spectra (typically thousands). The calculation can be adjusted by (1) pre-treatment of spectra, e.g., with peak count and intensity thresholds, (2) weight assignment to intensity and m/z data, and (3) shifting TP spectra to highlight equal neutral losses (Schollée et al., 2017; Watrous et al., 2012). Furthermore, combining matched mass peaks from shifted and non-shifted spectra was implemented for similarity calculation of equivalent fragments *and* neutral losses. MS similarity from annotation equivalence compares formulas of annotated MS/MS fragments and neutral losses, based on additional data such as isotopic fit and spectral libraries. This potentially increases accuracy, but requires presence of annotations for parent/TP features.

Parent/TP feature classification (Figure 1E) is typically performed by statistical analyses with R, facilitated by the patRoon data export functionality. Fold-change calculation and visualization with volcano plots (Cui & Churchill, 2003) was implemented in patRoon 2.0 to simplify the usage of this common classification technique.



Figure 1: TP screening workflow in patRoon 2.0. One or more of steps B/C, D and E are used to generate TP components by linking and grouping parent/TP features (F). The TP annotation (H) can be enriched with data from (B).

During TP componentization (Figure 1F), each parent feature is linked with corresponding TP features and grouped in a TP component. Data prioritization (G) can then be performed with the subsetting functionality of patRoon and several newly implemented filters (Table 2). Existing MetFrag (Ruttkies et al., 2016) annotation functionality was extended to include predicted TP structures (B) to allow *in silico* MS/MS annotation (H) of TPs absent from commonly used databases. The interactive reporting (I) functionality was extended to simplify inspection of TP screening results (see Figure 2).



Filter	Remarks
RT direction	Verifies expected relative retention time direction of each parent/TP pair based on chemical polarities.
Structure similarity	Removes TPs with high MS similarity but low structural similarity.
Explained transformation	Verifies the proposed metabolic logic transformation with feature formula annotations.
Remove isomers	Removes isomers, which can be difficult or impossible to distinguish with MS.
Duplicates	Removes duplicate TPs formed from the same parent through different pathways.

Table 2: Filters to prioritize TP components.



Figure 2: Example report with TP screening results (bottom) for a selected parent (top).

Sets workflows: combining positive and negative MS ionization data

In a sets workflow, positive and negative data is automatically processed and combined (Figure 3A). Features are obtained for each polarity, and optionally prioritized with polarity specific conditions (e.g., minimum intensity). Then, the feature m/z values are replaced with neutral masses calculated from adduct information (defined manually or via feature adduct annotations), and subsequently aligned and grouped across polarities (with configurable tolerances). Subsequent steps largely follow the patRoon 1.0 workflow (Helmus, ter Laak, et al., 2021). Algorithms incapable of processing polarity mixed data are automatically executed with polarity specific data, and outputs are subsequently combined. Moreover, a consensus



for formula/compound annotations can be reached, for instance, to eliminate candidates not found for both polarities.

Sets workflows follow a generic design, where each *set* is a group of analyses that demand independent processing of MS related data (features, mass spectra etc). Therefore, sets can also be differentiated by other MS parameters such as MS/MS fragmentation technique or ionization source. Furthermore, the design allows future implementation of workflows with different chromatographic methods, for instance, to simultaneously process data from different instruments.



Figure 3: A Sets workflow with simultaneous processing of positive and negative data. Alignment of positive/negative features can be improved with adduct annotations. The workflow continues identically to the patRoon 1.0 workflow, and positive/negative data is automatically processed separately for algorithms without mixed polarity support. B Default YAML configuration file used for estimation of suspect identification levels from annotation scores, candidate rankings and other data.

Other new functionality

Other new functionality of patRoon 2.0 includes:

- Improved suspect screening
 - Automatic estimation of identification levels (Schymanski et al., 2014) using a configurable and extensible rule based approach (see Figure 3B).
 - Combining suspect and non-target screening workflows.
 - Merging results from different screenings.
- Improved adduct annotation
 - Automatic prioritization of features with preferred adducts.
 - Use of adduct annotations with formula/compound annotation.
 - Support for the algorithms of cliqueMS (Senan et al., 2019) and OpenMS (Metabo liteAdductDecharger) (Röst et al., 2016).
- Improved feature data
 - Inclusion of SIRIUS (Dührkop et al., 2019), SAFD (Samanipour et al., 2019) and KPIC2 (Ji et al., 2017) algorithms.
 - Integration of MetaClean (Chetnik et al., 2020) for chromatographic peak quality calculation and validation.



- Calculation and prioritization with peak scores derived from aforementioned peak qualities.
- Interactive graphical tools to inspect and curate workflow data and to train and inspect feature classifications with MetaClean.
- Refactoring and updates of newProject() to generate code for the new functionality.
- A delete function to remove unwanted workflow data, e.g., to implement custom filters.
- More approaches to parallelize R code and support high performance computing using the future package (Bengtsson, 2021).
- Bug fixes and improvements from user feedback.

A complete listing of all changes is outlined in the project news file.

Example workflows

Simultaneous processing of positive/negative data

Performing a sets workflow is straightforward, and requires only few additions to a patRoon 1.0 workflow.

```
# Load patRoon and patRoonData libraries.
library(patRoon)
library(patRoonData)
```

```
# Obtain features for positive/negative data
fListPos <- findFeatures(exampleAnalysisInfo("positive"), "openms")
fListNeg <- findFeatures(exampleAnalysisInfo("negative"), "openms")
# Initiate sets workflow with default (de-)protonated adducts
fListSet <- makeSet(fListPos, fListNeg, adducts = c("[M+H]+", "[M-H]-"))
# Neutralize features and group across analyses and sets
fGroups <- groupFeatures(fListSet, "openms")
# Perform prioritization, annotation, reporting etc as a 'classical' workflow
...</pre>
```

TP screening

The code below demonstrates a simple TP screening workflow where (1) parents are screened, (2) corresponding TPs are predicted with BioTransformer, (3) the TPs are screened, (4) TP components are generated and (5) all results are reported.



```
# (4) Generate the TP components
componentsTP <- generateComponents(fGroupsScr, "tp", TPs = TPs)
# (5) Generate interactive HTML report</pre>
```

reportHTML(fGroupsScr, components = componentsTP)

The code for these and more advanced workflows are easily generated with the newProject() function. The Handbook outlines more examples of typical TP screening workflows.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Carl Emil Eskildsen for his suggestions on implementing and evaluating different MS similarity approaches, Lisa Kooy for her feedback and testing of the TP screening functionality, Pim de Voogt for general supervision and constructive feedback on the manuscript, as well as María Domínguez Román for her feedback on the manuscript.

Funding

R. Helmus was funded by the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (University of Amsterdam) and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) as part of the TooCOLD project carried out within the framework of TTW Open Technology Programme (project number 15506). A.M. Brunner was funded by the Joint Research Program of the Dutch and Belgian drinking water companies. E.L. Schymanski was funded by the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR) for project A18/BM/12341006.

References

- Bengtsson, H. (2021). A Unifying Framework for Parallel and Distributed Processing in R using Futures. *The R Journal*. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2021-048
- Brunner, A. M., Vughs, D., Siegers, W., Bertelkamp, C., Hofman-Caris, R., Kolkman, A., & Laak, T. ter. (2019). Monitoring transformation product formation in the drinking water treatments rapid sand filtration and ozonation. *Chemosphere*, 214, 801–811. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.09.140
- Chetnik, K., Petrick, L., & Pandey, G. (2020). MetaClean: A machine learning-based classifier for reduced false positive peak detection in untargeted LC–MS metabolomics data. *Metabolomics*, 16(11). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-020-01738-3
- Cui, X., & Churchill, G. A. (2003). Statistical tests for differential expression in cDNA microarray experiments. *Genome Biology*, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2003-4-4-210
- Depke, T., Franke, R., & Brönstrup, M. (2017). Clustering of MS² spectra using unsupervised methods to aid the identification of secondary metabolites from pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 1071, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.06. 002
- Djoumbou-Feunang, Y., Fiamoncini, J., Gil-de-la-Fuente, A., Greiner, R., Manach, C., & Wishart, D. S. (2019). BioTransformer: A comprehensive computational tool for small molecule metabolism prediction and metabolite identification. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-018-0324-5
- Dührkop, K., Fleischauer, M., Ludwig, M., Aksenov, A. A., Melnik, A. V., Meusel, M., Dorrestein, P. C., Rousu, J., & Böcker, S. (2019). SIRIUS 4: A rapid tool for turning



tandem mass spectra into metabolite structure information. *Nature Methods*, 16(4), 299–302. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0344-8

- Escher, B. I., & Fenner, K. (2011). Recent advances in environmental risk assessment of transformation products. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(9), 3835–3847. https://doi.org/10.1021/es1030799
- Farré, M. Ia, Pérez, S., Kantiani, L., & Barceló, D. (2008). Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 27(11), 991–1007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2008. 09.010
- Helmus, R., ter Laak, T. L., van Wezel, A. P., de Voogt, P., & Schymanski, E. L. (2021). patRoon: Open source software platform for environmental mass spectrometry based non-target screening. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13321-020-00477-w
- Helmus, R., Velde, B. van de, Brunner, A. M., Laak, T. L. ter, Wezel, A. P. van, & Schymanski, E. L. (2021). patRoon 2.0: Improved non-target analysis workflows including automated transformation product screening (Version 2.0.0) [Computer software]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6337883
- Ji, H., Zeng, F., Xu, Y., Lu, H., & Zhang, Z. (2017). KPIC2: An effective framework for mass spectrometry-based metabolomics using pure ion chromatograms. *Analytical Chemistry*, 89(14), 7631–7640. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b01547
- Kim, S. (2021). Exploring chemical information in PubChem. Current Protocols, 1(8), e217. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpz1.217
- Krier, J., Singh, R. R., Kondić, T., Lai, A., Diderich, P., Zhang, J., Thiessen, P. A., Bolton, E. E., & Schymanski, E. L. (2022). Discovering pesticides and their TPs in Luxembourg waters using open cheminformatics approaches. *Environment International*, 158, 106885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106885
- Li, D., Liang, W., Feng, X., Ruan, T., & Jiang, G. (2021). Recent advances in data-mining techniques for measuring transformation products by high-resolution mass spectrometry. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 143, 116409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021. 116409
- Naake, T., & Gaquerel, E. (2017). MetCirc: Navigating mass spectral similarity in highresolution MS/MS metabolomics data. *Bioinformatics*, 33(15), 2419–2420. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx159
- R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- Röst, H. L., Sachsenberg, T., Aiche, S., Bielow, C., Weisser, H., Aicheler, F., Andreotti, S., Ehrlich, H.-C., Gutenbrunner, P., Kenar, E., Liang, X., Nahnsen, S., Nilse, L., Pfeuffer, J., Rosenberger, G., Rurik, M., Schmitt, U., Veit, J., Walzer, M., ... Kohlbacher, O. (2016). OpenMS: A flexible open-source software platform for mass spectrometry data analysis. *Nature Methods*, *13*(9), 741–748. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3959
- Ruttkies, C., Schymanski, E. L., Wolf, S., Hollender, J., & Neumann, S. (2016). MetFrag relaunched: Incorporating strategies beyond in silico fragmentation. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-016-0115-9
- Samanipour, S., O'Brien, J. W., Reid, M. J., & Thomas, K. V. (2019). Self adjusting algorithm for the nontargeted feature detection of high resolution mass spectrometry coupled with liquid chromatography profile data. *Analytical Chemistry*, 91(16), 10800–10807. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b02422



- Schollée, J. E., Hollender, J., & McArdell, C. S. (2021). Characterization of advanced wastewater treatment with ozone and activated carbon using LC-HRMS based non-target screening with automated trend assignment. *Water Research*, 200, 117209. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117209
- Schollée, J. E., Schymanski, E. L., Avak, S. E., Loos, M., & Hollender, J. (2015). Prioritizing unknown transformation products from biologically-treated wastewater using high-resolution mass spectrometry, multivariate statistics, and metabolic logic. *Analytical Chemistry*, 87(24), 12121–12129. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02905
- Schollée, J. E., Schymanski, E. L., Stravs, M. A., Gulde, R., Thomaidis, N. S., & Hollender, J. (2017). Similarity of high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry spectra of structurally related micropollutants and transformation products. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, 28(12), 2692–2704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-017-1797-6
- Schymanski, E. L., Bolton, E., Cheng, T., Thiessen, P., Zhang, J. (Jeff), & Helmus, R. (2021). *Transformations in PubChem - full dataset*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 5644561
- Schymanski, E. L., Jeon, J., Gulde, R., Fenner, K., Ruff, M., Singer, H. P., & Hollender, J. (2014). Identifying small molecules via high resolution mass spectrometry: Communicating confidence. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48(4), 2097–2098. https://doi.org/10. 1021/es5002105
- Schymanski, E. L., Kondić, T., Neumann, S., Thiessen, P. A., Zhang, J., & Bolton, E. E. (2021). Empowering large chemical knowledge bases for exposonics: PubChemLite meets MetFrag. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-021-00489-0
- Senan, O., Aguilar-Mogas, A., Navarro, M., Capellades, J., Noon, L., Burks, D., Yanes, O., Guimerà, R., & Sales-Pardo, M. (2019). CliqueMS: A computational tool for annotating in-source metabolite ions from LC-MS untargeted metabolomics data based on a coelution similarity network. *Bioinformatics*, 35(20), 4089–4097. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btz207
- Silva, R. R. da, Dorrestein, P. C., & Quinn, R. A. (2015). Illuminating the dark matter in metabolomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(41), 12549–12550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516878112
- Stein, S. E., & Scott, D. R. (1994). Optimization and testing of mass spectral library search algorithms for compound identification. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, 5(9), 859–866. https://doi.org/10.1016/1044-0305(94)87009-8
- Treutler, H., Tsugawa, H., Porzel, A., Gorzolka, K., Tissier, A., Neumann, S., & Balcke, G. U. (2016). Discovering regulated metabolite families in untargeted metabolomics studies. *Analytical Chemistry*, 88(16), 8082–8090. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b01569
- Vermeulen, R., Schymanski, E. L., Barabási, A.-L., & Miller, G. W. (2020). The exposome and health: Where chemistry meets biology. *Science*, 367(6476), 392–396. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aay3164
- Watrous, J., Roach, P., Alexandrov, T., Heath, B. S., Yang, J. Y., Kersten, R. D., Voort, M. van der, Pogliano, K., Gross, H., Raaijmakers, J. M., Moore, B. S., Laskin, J., Bandeira, N., & Dorrestein, P. C. (2012). Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial colonies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(26), E1743–E1752. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203689109
- Wicker, J., Lorsbach, T., Gütlein, M., Schmid, E., Latino, D., Kramer, S., & Fenner, K. (2015). enviPath – the environmental contaminant biotransformation pathway resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(D1), D502–D508. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1229



Wolfe, K., Pope, N., Parmar, R., Galvin, M., Stevens, C., Weber, E., Flaishans, J., & Purucker, T. (2016). Chemical transformation system: Cloud based cheminformatic services to support integrated environmental modeling.