Variational Solvers for Irreversible Evolutionary Systems

Andrés A León Baldelli [1][¶] and Pierluigi Cesana [2][¶]

1 Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert, F-75005 Paris, France **2** Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan. ¶ Corresponding author

Summary

We study irreversible evolutionary processes with a general energetic notion of stability. With this contribution, we release three nonlinear variational solvers as modular components (based on FEniCSx/dolfinx) that address three mathematical optimisation problems. They are general enough to apply, in principle, to evolutionary systems with instabilities, jumps, and emergence of patterns. Systems with these qualities are commonplace in diverse arenas spanning from quantum to continuum mechanics, economy, social sciences, and ecology. Our motivation proceeds from fracture mechanics, with the ultimate goal of deploying a transparent numerical platform for scientific validation and prediction of large scale natural fracture phenomena. Our solvers are used to compute *one* solution to a problem encoded in a system of two inequalities: one (pointwise almost-everywhere) constraint of irreversibility and one global energy statement.

Statement of need

Quasi-static evolution problems arising in fracture are strongly nonlinear (Marigo, 2023), (Bourdin et al., 2008). They can admit multiple solutions, or none (León Baldelli & Maurini, 2021). This demands both a functional theoretical framework and practical computational tools for real case scenarios. Due to the lack of uniqueness of solutions, it is fundamental to leverage the full variational structure of the problem and investigate solutions up to second order, to detect nucleation of stable modes and transitions of unstable states. The stability of a multiscale system along its nontrivial evolutionary paths in phase space is a key property that is difficult to check: numerically, for real case scenarios with several length scales involved, and analytically, in the infinite-dimensional setting. Despite the concept of unilateral stability is classical in the variational theory of irreversible systems (Mielke & Roubíček, 2015) and the mechanics of fracture (Francfort & Marigo, 1998) (see also Nguyen (2000)), few studies have explored second-order criteria for crack nucleation and evolution. Although sporadic, these studies are significant, including (Pham et al., 2011), (Pham & Marigo, 2013), (Sicsic et al., 2014), (León Baldelli & Maurini, 2021), and (Zolesi & Maurini, 2024). The current literature in computational fracture mechanics predominantly focuses on unilateral first-order criteria, systematically neglecting the exploration of higher-order information for critical points. To the best of our knowledge, no general numerical tools are available to address second-order criteria in evolutionary nonlinear irreversible systems and fracture mechanics.

To fill this gap, our nonlinear solvers offer a flexible toolkit for advanced stability analysis of systems which evolve with constraints.

Functionality

We attack the following abstract problem which encodes a selection principle:

$$P(0): \text{ Given } T > 0, \text{ find an irreversible-constrained evolution } y_t$$

$$y_t : t \in [0, T] \mapsto X_t \text{ such that}$$

$$[\text{Unilateral Stability}] \qquad E(y_t) \le E(y_t + z), \quad \forall z \in V_0 \times K_0^+ \qquad [1]$$

Above, T defines a horizon of events. The system is represented by its total energy E and X_t is the time-dependent space of admissible states. A generic element of X_t contains a macroscopic field that can be externally driven (or controlled, e.g. via boundary conditions) and an internal field (akin to an internal degree of order). In the applications of fracture, the kinematic variable is a vector-valued displacement $u(x)$ and the degree of order $\alpha(x)$ controls the softening of the material. Irreversibility applies to the internal variable, hence an irreversible-constrained evolution is a mapping parametrised by t such that $\alpha_t(x)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t. The kinematic variable is subject to bilateral variations belonging to a linear subset of a Sobolev vector space V_0, whereas the test space for the internal order parameter K_0^+ only contains positive fields owing to the irreversibility constraint. The main difficulties are to correctly enforce unilateral constraints and to account for the changing nature of the space of variations.

`HybridSolver` (1) `BifurcationSolver`, (2) and `StabilitySolver` (3) address the solution of [1] in three stages:

1. A constrained variational inequality; that is first order necessary conditions for unilateral equilibrium.

2. A singular variational eigen-problem in a vector space; that is a bifurcation problem indicating uniqueness (or lack thereof) of the evolution path.

3. A constrained eigen-inequality in a convex cone; originating from a second order eigenvalue problem indicating stabilty of the system (or lack thereof).

These numerical tools can be used to study general evolutionary problems formulated in terms of fully nonlinear functional operators in spaces of high or infinite dimension. In this context, systems can have surprising and complicated behaviours such as symmetry breaking bifurcations, endogenous pattern formation, localisations, and separation of scales. Our solvers can be extended or adapted to a variety of systems described by an energetic principle formulated as in [1].

Software

Our solvers are written in `Python` and are built on `DOLFINx`, an expressive and performant parallel distributed computing environment for solving partial differential equations using the finite element method (Baratta et al., 2023). It enables us wrapping high-level functional mathematical constructs with full flexibility and control of the underlying linear algebra backend. We use PETSc (Balay et al., 2023), petsc4py (Dalcin et al., 2011), SLEPc.EPS (Hernandez et al., 2005), and dolfiny (Habera & Zilian, 2024) for parallel scalability.

Our solver's API receives an abstract energy functional, a user-friendly description of the state of the system as a dictionary `{"u": u, "alpha": alpha}`, where the first element is associated to the reversible field and the second to the irreversible component, the associated constraints on the latter, and the solver's parameters (see an example in the Addendum). Solvers can be instantiated calling

```
solver = {Hybrid,Bifurcation,Stability}Solver(
    E,              # An energy (dolfinx.fem.form)
    state,          # A dictionary of fields describing the system
    bcs,            # A list of boundary conditions
    [bounds],       # A list of bounds (lower and upper) for the order parameter
    parameters      # A dictionary of numerical parameters
    )
```

where [bounds]=[lower, upper] are required for the HybridSolver. Calling solver.solve(<args>) triggers the solution of the corresponding variational problem. Here, <args> depend on the solver (see the documentation for details).

HybridSolver solves a (first order) constrained nonlinear variational inequality, implementing a two-phase hybrid strategy which is *ad hoc* for energy models typical of applications in damage and fracture mechanics. The first phase (iterative alternate minimisation) is based on a de-facto industry standard, conceived to exploit the (partial, directional) convexity of the underlying mechanical models (Bourdin et al., 2000). Once an approximate-solution enters the attraction set around a critical point, the solver switches to perform a fully nonlinear step solving a block-matrix problem via Newton's method. This guarantees a precise estimation of the convergence of the first-order nonlinear problem based on the norm of the (constrained) residual.

BifurcationSolver is a variational eigenvalue solver which uses SLEPc.EPS to explore the lower part of the spectrum of the Hessian of the energy, automatically computed performing two directional derivatives. Constraints are accounted for by projecting the full Hessian onto the subspace of inactive constraints (Jorge Nocedal, 1999). The relevance of this approach is typical of systems with threshold laws. Thus, the solve method returns a boolean value indicating whether the restricted Hessian is positive definite. Internally, the solver stores the lower part of the operators' spectrum as an array.

StabilitySolver solves a constrained variational eigenvalue inequality in a convex cone, to check whether the (restricted) nonlinear Hessian operator is positive therein. Starting from an initial guess z_0^*, it iteratively computes (eigenvalue, eigenvector) pairs (λ_k, z_k) converging to a limit (λ^*, z^*) (as $k \to \infty$), by implementing a simple projection and scaling algorithm (Moreau, 1962), (Pinto da Costa & Seeger, 2010). The positivity of λ^* (the smallest eigenvalue) allows to conclude on the stability of the current state (or lack thereof), hence effectively solving P(0). Notice that, if the current state is unstable ($\lambda^* < 0$), the minimal eigenmode indicates the direction of energy decrease.

We dedicate a separate contribution to illustrate how the three solvers are algorithmically combined to solve problem P(0) in the case of fracture. Figure 1 illustrates the numerical convergence properties of the StabilitySolver in a 1d verification test.

In a supplementary document, we perform a thorough verification of the code through parametric benchmark for investigating the stability of a 1D mechanical system, providing analytical expressions used for comparison with numerical solutions, as well as all parameters (numerical and physical) employed in the calculations. Accuracy and reliability of the solvers is shown by the close agreement between numerical and analytic solutions in a benchmark minimisation of (a constrained) Rayleigh ratio, a key problem for applications in structural mechanics and stability analysis.

Figure 1: Rate of convergence for `StabilitySolver` in 1d (cf. benchmark problem in the Addendum). Targets are the eigenvalue $\lim_k \lambda_k =: \lambda^*$ (pink) and the associated eigen-vector x^* (error curve in blue). Note that the residual vector (green) for the cone problem need not be zero at a solution.

Acknowledgements

References

Balay, S., Abhyankar, S., Adams, M. F., Benson, S., Brown, J., Brune, P., Buschelman, K., Constantinescu, E., Dalcin, L., Dener, A., Eijkhout, V., Faibussowitsch, J., Gropp, W. D., Hapla, V., Isaac, T., Jolivet, P., Karpeev, D., Kaushik, D., Knepley, M. G., … Zhang, J. (2023). *PETSc/TAO users manual* (ANL-21/39 - Revision 3.20). Argonne National Laboratory. https://doi.org/10.2172/2205494

Baratta, I. A., Dean, J. P., Dokken, J. S., Habera, M., Hale, J. S., Richardson, C. N., Rognes, M. E., Scroggs, M. W., Sime, N., & Wells, G. N. (2023). *DOLFINx: The next generation FEniCS problem solving environment*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10447666

Bažant, Z. P. (1988). Stable States and Paths of Stmuctures with Plasticity or Damage. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, *114*. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9399(1988)114:12(2013)

Bourdin, B., Francfort, G. A., & Marigo, J.-J. (2000). Numerical experiments in revisited brittle fracture. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *48*(4), 797–826. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5096(99)00028-9

Bourdin, B., Francfort, G. A., & Marigo, J.-J. (2008). The variational approach to fracture. In *Journal of Elasticity* (Vol. 91, pp. 5–148). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6395-4

Dalcin, L. D., Paz, R. R., Kler, P. A., & Cosimo, A. (2011). Parallel distributed computing using Python. *Advances in Water Resources*, *34*(9), 1124–1139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.04.013

Francfort, G. A., & Marigo, J.-J. (1998). Revisiting brittle fracture as an energy minimization problem. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *46*(8), 1319–1342. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5096(98)00034-9

Habera, M., & Zilian, A. (2024). *Dolfiny: Python wrappers for DOLFINx*. https://github.com/michalhabera/dolfiny

Hernandez, V., Roman, J. E., & Vidal, V. (2005). SLEPc: A scalable and flexible toolkit for the solution of eigenvalue problems. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, *31*(3), 351–362. https://doi.org/10.1145/1089014.1089019

Jorge Nocedal, S. W. (1999). *Numerical optimization*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-40065-5

León Baldelli, A. A., & Maurini, C. (2021). Numerical bifurcation and stability analysis of variational gradient-damage models for phase-field fracture. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *152*, 104424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2021.104424

Marigo, J.-J. (2023). La mécanique de l'endommagement au secours de la mécanique de la rupture : L'évolution de cette idée en un demi-siècle. *Comptes Rendus Mécanique*. https://doi.org/10.5802/crmeca.156

Mielke, A., & Roubíček, A. (2015). *Rate-independent systems*. Springer New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2706-7

Moreau, J. J. (1962). Décomposition orthogonale d'un espace hilbertien selon deux cônes mutuellement polaires. *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires Des séances de l'Académie Des Sciences*, *255*, 238–240.

Nguyen, Q. S. (1994). Bifurcation and stability in dissipative media (plasticity, friction, fracture). *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, *47*(1), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3111068

Nguyen, Q. S. (2000). Standard dissipative systems and stability analysis. In G. Maugin, R. Drouot, & F. Sidoroff (Eds.), *Continuum thermodynamics: The art and science of modeling matter's behavior* (pp. 343–354). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-46946-4

Petryk, H., & Thermann, K. (1985). Second-order bifurcation in elastic-plastic solids. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *33*(6), 577–593. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(85)90004-3

Pham, K., & Marigo, J.-J. (2013). Stability of Homogeneous States with Gradient Damage Models: Size Effects and Shape Effects in the Three-Dimensional Setting. *Journal of Elasticity*, *110*(1), 63–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10659-012-9382-5

Pham, K., Marigo, J.-J., & Maurini, C. (2011). The issues of the uniqueness and the stability of the homogeneous response in uniaxial tests with gradient damage models. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *59*(6), 1163–1190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2011.03.010

Pinto da Costa, A., & Seeger, A. (2010). Cone-constrained eigenvalue problems: theory and algorithms. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, *45*(1), 25–57. https:

//doi.org/10.1007/s10589-008-9167-8

Sicsic, P., Marigo, J.-J., & Maurini, C. (2014). Initiation of a periodic array of cracks in the thermal shock problem: A gradient damage modeling. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *63*, 256–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2013.09.003

Zolesi, C., & Maurini, C. (2024). Stability and crack nucleation in variational phase-field models of fracture: Effects of length-scales and stress multi-axiality. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *192*, 105802.